

# **Summary Action Report**

MTO Site Number: Unknown

Structure ID: K-0009

<u>Structure Name:</u> Big Bay Sideroad Culvert Bridge Condition Index (BCI): 19.9

Road Name: Big Bay Sideroad

Location: Lot 37/38 – Colpoy
Range Keppel Inspection Date: June 3, 2024

Structure Type: SPCSP Culvert Inspected By: Jesse Borges, P.Eng.

No. of Spans: Single Span Spans Lengths: 2.5m

Road Width: 6.5m Overall Structure Length: 19.3m

Year of Construction: 1970 <u>Current Load Limit:</u> N/A



#### **Overall Comments:**

The structure appears to be in overall critical condition with large perforations and evidence of active overstressing of the culvert barrel walls. There are two large perforations noted at the north and south walls of the culvert. The north perforation measures 4.0m x 0.3m, which has caused the north wall of the barrel to settle and slip below the invert. Bolt hole cracking and crimping of the barrel corrugations was also noted along the south wall. Due to the presents of these deficiencies, the structure appears to be exhibiting buckling / failure issues and urgent replacement of the culvert (within 1 year) is recommended.

Estimated Costs for Replacement								
Construction Project Type	Urgent, Within 1 Year	1 to 5 Years	6 to 10 Years	Contingencies, Associated Costs and Engineering	Total			
Replacement	\$205,000			\$305,000	\$510,000			



Inventory Data:										
Structure Name	Big Bay Side	road Culv	/ert							
Main Hwy/Road #			□On		Crossing		□ Navig. Water □ Non-Navig. Water □			
Hwy/Road Name	Big Bay Side	road	☐ Under Type:			Rail □ Road □ Ped. □ Other				
Structure Location			nge Kennel – 7	50m n	orth of Cana F	Road				
Latitude:	44.786207	Jotpoy Na	1	ge, Keppel – 750m north of Cape Road  Longitude: -80.950072						
	The Townshi	n of	_	ritage		ons. ☐ Cons./not App. ☐ List/not Des				
Owner(s):	Georgian Bl	•	Designa	_		☐ Desig./not List ☐ Desig. & List				
MTO Region:	30		Road C	Class:	☐ Freeway	□ Arteri	al 🗆 Collec	ctor ⊠ Local		
MTO District:	33		Posted S <sub>I</sub>	peed:	80km/h	No	. of Lanes:	2		
Old County:	County of G	rey	P	AADT:			% Trucks:			
Geographic Twp.:	Keppel		Inspection	n Route	e Sequence:					
Structure Type:	SPCSP			Inter	change No.:					
Total Deck Length:	19.3m		Intercha	nge St	ructure No.:					
Overall Str. Width:	2.5m		Min \	/ertica	l Clearance:					
Total Deck Area:	48.3m²		Special Ro	al Routes: 🗆 Tran		sit 🗆 T	ruck 🗆 Sc	hool 🗆 Bicycle		
Roadway Width:	6.5m		Detour Length Around Bridge:			5.5km				
Skew Angle:	30°		Direction of Structure:		E-W					
No. of Spans:	1		Fill on Structure:		n Structure:	0.3m				
Span Lengths:	2.5		1							
Historical Data:										
HIStoricat Data.	\	10-0				<u> </u>				
	Year Built:	1970			of Last Major		Unknown			
	I Inspection:	2022		Last Load Eval						
Last Enhanced OSIM		N/A		Current Loa		d Limit: N/A				
Enhanced Access (ladder, bo	eat, lift, etc.):	None			Load Limit By-	-Law #: N/A				
Last Underwater	Inspection:	N/A			By-Law Expir	y Date:	N/A			
Last Cond	ition Survey:	N/A								
Rehab History (Date a	nd Descriptio	n): No rel	nabilitation histo	ory pro	vided by Towr	nship.				



Field Inspection Information:								
Date of Inspection:	2024/06/03	Type of Inspection:	⊠ OSIM	☐ Enhanced OSIM				
Inspector:	Jesse Borges, P.Eng.	Jesse Borges, P.Eng.						
Others in Party:	David DeBoer, E.I.T.							
Access Equipment Used:	Hammer, Measuring Tape Camera							
Weather:	Sunny, 20°C							

Additional Investigations Demoised.		Priority		Estimated
Additional Investigations Required:	None	Normal	Urgent	Cost
Material Condition Survey	Х			
Detailed Deck Condition Survey:	Х			
Non-destructive Delam. Survey of Asphalt-Covered Deck:	Х			
Concrete Substructure Condition Survey:	Х			
Detailed Coating Condition Survey:	Х			
Detailed Timber Investigation	Х			
Post-Tensioned Strand Investigation	Х			
Underwater Investigation:	Х			
Fatigue Investigation:	X			
Seismic Investigation:	Х			
Structure Evaluation:	Х			
Monitoring (deformations, settlements, movements, crack widths):			Х	\$5,000
Load Posting – Estimated Load	Total Cost \$5,000			\$5,000
Investigation Notes: Monitoring of deformations recommended until struct	ure is repla	ced. Recom	nmend mor	nitoring

Overall Structure Notes:	Overall Structure Notes:						
Overall Comments:	The structure appears to be in overall critical condition with large perforations and evidence of active overstressing of the culvert barrel walls. There are two large perforations noted at the north and south walls of the culvert. The north perforation measures 4.0m x 0.3m, which has caused the north wall of the barrel to settle and slip below the invert. Bolt hole cracking and crimping of the barrel corrugations was also noted along the south wall. Due to the presents of these deficiencies, the structure appears to be exhibiting buckling / failure issues and urgent replacement of the culvert (within 1 year) is recommended.						
Date of Next Inspection:	2026						

### **Suspected Performance Deficiencies**

structure every 4 months.

-		06	Bearing not uniformly loaded/unstable	12	Slippery surfaces
01	Load carrying capacity	07	Jammed expansion joint	13	Flooding/channel blockage
02	Excessive deformations (deflections & rotations)	80	Pedestrian/vehicular hazard	14	Undermining of foundation
03	Continuing settlement	09	Rough riding surface	15	Unstable embankments
04	Continuing movements	10	Surface ponding	16	Other
05	Seized bearings	11	Deck drainage		

Mainte	enance Needs				
01	Lift and Swing Bridge Maintenance	07	Repair to Structural Steel	13	Erosion Control at Bridges
02	Bridge Cleaning	08	Repair of Bridge Concrete	14	Concrete Sealing
03	Bridge Handrail Maintenance	09	Repair of Bridge Timber	15	Rout and Seal
04	Painting Steel Bridge Structures	10	Bailey bridges – Maintenance	16	Bridge Deck Drainage
05	Bridge Deck Joint Repair	11	Animal/Pest Control	17	Scaling (Loose Concrete or ACR Steel)
06	Bridge Bearing Maintenance	12	Bridge Surface Renair	18	Other



## **Element Data**

Element Group:	Approach			Length:		6.5m	6.5m		
Element Name:	Wearing Surface			Width:	Width:		10m		
Location:	Each Side			Height:					
Material:	Asphalt			Count:		2			
Element Type:				Total Qu	ıantity:	130m²			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate /	Severe		Limited	imited Inspection				
Protection System:					<u> </u>				Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.		Good	F	air	P	oor	Deficiencies
Data: m²/	m / each / % / all			130					None
Comments: Wearing su			lition v	with no d				'	
Recommended Work:	□ Rehab	■ Replace			Maintena	nce Need:	s:	None	
☑ Urgent       ☐ 1-5 years       ☐ 6-10 years       ☐ None       ☐ Urgent       ☐ 1 year       ☐ 2 year         Wearing Surface will be replaced during the culvert replacement.       ☐ Urgent       ☐ 1 year       ☐ 2 year									
Element Group:	Approach			Length:		140m			
Element Name:	Barriers			Width:					
Location:	Each Quadrant			Height:					
Material:				Count:		1			
Element Type:	3-Cable Post-Tension	n Guiderail		Total Qu	antity:	140m			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate /	Severe			mited Inspection				
Protection System:									Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.		Good	F	air	P	oor	Deficiencies
	m/each/%/all			140					None
Comments: Guiderail a beam guiderail and new Recommended Work:	end treatments durin				orove the sa		roadwa		eplaced with steel
⊠ Ur	gent 🗆 1-5 years 🗀	6-10 years □ Nor	ne		□ Urgent	□ 1 year	□ 2 ye	ar	
Guiderail system to be r	eplaced during the cu	lvert replacement	•						
Element Group:	Decks			Length:		6.5m			
Element Name:	Wearing Surface			Width:		2.5m			
Location:	Over Structure			Height:					
Material:	Asphalt			Count:		1			
Element Type:				Total Qu	iantity:	16.3m <sup>2</sup>	!		
Environment:	Benign / Moderate /	Severe		Limited	Inspection				
Protection System:									Perform.
Condition	Units	Exc.		Good	F	air	P	oor	Deficiencies
Data: m²/	m / each / % / all			16.3					None
Comments: Wearing surface appears to be in overall good condition with no deficiencies noted.									
Recommended Work:	□ Rehab	■ Replace			Maintena	nce Need:	s:	None	
⊠ Ur	gent □ 1-5 years □	6-10 years ☐ No	ne		□ Urgent	□ 1 year	□ 2 ye	ear	
Wearing surface will be replaced during the culvert replacement. Costed under Approach Element.									



## **Element Data**

	Culvert	Length:	ength: 19.3m					
Element Name:	Barrels		Width:		2.5m			
Location:			Height:		1.75m			
Material:	Corrugated Steel		Count:		1			
Element Type:	Multi- Plate Pipe		Total Qu	uantity:	135m²			
Environment:	Benign / Moderate / Se	evere	Limited	red Inspection				
Protection System:	Hot Dip Galvanizing			Perform.				
Condition	Units	Exc.	Good	Fa	Fair Poor		Deficiencies	
	m / each / % / all					135	02	
	s in overall poor condition	n and requires urg	ent replace	ment. The cu	ılvert has		The state of the s	
	•						` '	
along the north wall of the barrel at mid-length. Due to the perforation, the north wall of the barrel is settling and beginning to slide beneath the invert. The culvert also has a large perforation (3.0m x 0.1m) along the south wall of the barrel at mid-length. The south wall								
	biting bolt hole cracking a		•	-			-	
	the culvert has experienc							
Recommended Work:	□ Rehab 🗵		,		ance Nee			
-	gent $\square$ 1-5 years $\square$ 6-1	•			□ 1 year	□ 2 year		
	-		;	Orgent	⊔ i yeai	□ Z yeai		
Recommend the reptac	ement of the structure w	itilli i year.						
Element Group:	Embankments and Stre	eams	Length:					
Element Name:	Embankments	741110	Width:					
Location:	Each Quadrant		Height:					
Material:	Soil		Count:		4			
Element Type:	3011							
Environment:	Panian / Madarata / Sa	01/010						
	Benign / Moderate / Se	evere	Limited	Inspection [			5 (	
Protection System:							Perform.	
Condition	Units	Exc.	Good	Fa	ir	Poor	Deficiencies	
	m/ <b>each</b> /%/all		3	1			None	
Comments: Embankme	nts are in overall good to	fair condition. Th	e northwest	: embankmer	nt has mi	nor failure of the s	slope protection	
with some geotextile ex	osed.							
Recommended Work:	□ Rehab 🗵 I	Replace		Mainten	ance Nee	eds: None		
× Urs						□ 2 vear		
	,	☑ Urgent □ 1-5 years □ 6-10 years ☑ None □ Urgent □ 1 year □ 2 year						
Replace embankments during culvert replacement.								
keplace embankments	during culvert replaceme			Urgent	⊔ i year	□ Z youi		
керіасе embankments	during culvert replaceme			Urgent	⊔ i year	_ Z you		
Replace embankments  Element Group:	during culvert replacements	ent.	Length:		□ i year	_ Zyoui		
		ent. eams			□ i year	_ Zyou		
Element Group:	Embankments and Stre	ent. eams	Length:		□ 1 year	_ Zyou		
Element Group: Element Name:	Embankments and Stre	ent. eams	Length:		□ I year	_ Zyou		
Element Group: Element Name: Location:	Embankments and Stre	ent. eams	Length: Width: Height:		All	_ Zycai		
Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material:	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway	ent. eams //s	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu	uantity:	All	_ Zyou		
Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment:	Embankments and Stre	ent. eams //s	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu		All	_ Zyou	Perform	
Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System:	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se	ent. eams vs evere	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited	uantity:	All		Perform.	
Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System: Condition	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units	ent. eams //s	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	uantity:	All	Poor	Deficiencies	
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data: m²//	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se	ent. eams vs evere	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited	uantity:	All			
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data:  Comments:	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units m / each / % / all	ent. eams vs evere Exc.	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	uantity:	All		Deficiencies	
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data:  Comments:	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units	ent. eams vs evere Exc.	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	uantity:	All		Deficiencies	
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data:  Comments:	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units m / each / % / all	ent. eams vs evere Exc.	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	uantity:	All		Deficiencies	
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data:  Comments:	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units m / each / % / all  be in overall good conditions	ent. eams vs evere Exc.	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	uantity: Inspection Fa	All	Poor	Deficiencies	
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data: m²//  Comments:  Watercourse appears to	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units m / each / % / all  be in overall good conditions	ent. eams vs evere Exc. ition.	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	uantity: Inspection Fa	All ir	Poor eds: None	Deficiencies	
Element Group:  Element Name:  Location:  Material:  Element Type:  Environment:  Protection System:  Condition Data: m²//  Comments:  Watercourse appears to	Embankments and Stre Streams and Waterway  Benign / Moderate / Se  Units m / each / % / all be in overall good condit	ent. eams vs evere Exc. ition.	Length: Width: Height: Count: Total Qu Limited Good	Jantity: Inspection Fa	All ir	Poor eds: None	Deficiencies	



Performance Deficie	ncies					
Element Group		Element Name	Perforr	nance Deficiency		
Culvert		Barrels	ive Deformations			
Maintenance Needs						
Element Group		Element Name	Element Name Ma			
Repair/Rehabilitation	n					
Element Group	Element Name	Repair/Rehabilitation	Priority	Cost Estimate		
Approach	Barriers	Install Steel Beam Barrier System	Urgent	\$65,000		
Approach	Wearing Surface	Remove and Repave Roadway	Urgent	\$20,000		
Culverts	Barrels	Remove and Install new SPCSP Culvert				
Total Repair/Rehabilitation Cost:						
Associated Work						
	Comments					
Site Mob./Demob.				\$30,000		
Traffic Control		Assuming full roadway closure with o	Assuming full roadway closure with detour route.			
Approaches		Restore embankments with rip-rap a	Restore embankments with rip-rap and topsoil.			
Utilities		Utility Protection During Constructio	\$5,000			
Right-of-way						
Background Studies		Geotechnical, Hydrology, Hydraulics	\$40,000			
Environmental Assess	ment	Assume Schedule 'A' (Exempt)				
Worksite Isolation and	d Dewatering	End Cofferdams and Water Diversion	n Pipe	\$80,000		
Environmental Protec	tion			\$10,000		
Other						
		Conti	ngencies (15%):	\$55,000		
Engineering (15%):						
Total Associated Work Cost:						
Total Cost:						

### Justification:

Replacement of the structure is recommended to ensure the safety of the public. The structure is exhibiting signs of overstressing and buckling which we anticipate will worsen over time with continued traffic use and further deterioration of the culvert barrel. This will also give the Township an opportunity to improve the safety of the roadway by installing a new steel beam guiderail system over the structure.

If the structure is not replaced before the end of 2024, we recommend that the structure be monitored on a regular basis by a qualified individual until construction can be completed.



# **PHOTO REPORT**

Township of Georgian Bluffs K-0009: Big Bay Sideroad Culvert PROJECT NO. 24017






Photo 3 - View of Barrel Deformation.



Photo 2 - View of West Culvert End.



Photo 4 - View of East Barrel End

Date of Photos: June 6, 2024

Inspector: David Debour, E.I.T.



# **PHOTO REPORT**

Township of Georgian Bluffs K-0009: Big Bay Sideroad Culvert PROJECT NO. 24017



Photo 5 - View of Separation Within Culvert.



Photo 6 - View of Perforation Within Culvert.




Photo 7 - View of Barrel



Photo 8 - View of Guardrail.

Date of Photos: June 6, 2024

Inspector: David Debour, E.I.T.